

Report to the Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation)

Date: 09 June 2015

Subject: South SILC Leeds – Broom Place, Middleton

Capital Scheme Number: 16981/SLS/000

Are specific electoral Wards affected? If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): Middleton Park	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Summary of main issues

- 1 The Best Council Plan 2013-17 outlines how Leeds City will achieve its ambition to become the Best City in the UK and Leeds City Council the best local authority. According to the Best Council Plan, the success of the Best Council objective: ensuring high quality public services, will be partly measured through reduced numbers of people Killed or Seriously Injured on the city’s roads. By enhancing the local residential environments by reducing vehicles speeds will provide a safer and more user friendly road environment for all road users. By improving the local road environment this will actively encourage children into more active modes of travel on journeys to school, contributing to the Leeds Education Challenge, which is part of the objective to build a child friendly city, delivery of the Better Lives programme and contribution to “Public Health which is embedded and effectively delivering health protection and health improvement”.
- 2 The City Council is responsible for designing and implementing highway works associated with the school expansion programme for South Leeds, Specialist Inclusive Learning Centre (SILC) in accordance with a number of planning conditions.
- 3 The purpose of this report is to request authority to advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order, to introduce ‘No Waiting At Any Time’ restrictions and ‘No Stopping’ (Mon–Fri 8:30am-4pm) restrictions on the School Keep Clear markings as

well as 'Time Limited Waiting' restrictions (2hrs No return within 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri), in the vicinity of the South Leeds SILC on Broom Place, Middleton.

- 4 This report seeks approval for the design and implementation of a pedestrian humped plateau which will replace a set of the existing speed cushions on Broom Place, Middleton.
- 5 This report also seeks approval to advertise the 90c notice for the introduction of vertical traffic calming features.

Recommendations

- 1 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:
 - i) Authorise the design and implementation of a scheme to introduce 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction, 'No Waiting' (Mon-Fri 8am-4pm), 'No Stopping' (Mon-Fri 8.30am-4pm) restriction, on the School Keep Clear markings, and proposed 'Time Limited Waiting' (2hrs No return within 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri) on Broom Place, Middleton, at a cost of £18,000. Details are shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc; and
 - ii) Authorise the design and implementation of a pedestrian hump plateau on Broom Place in the vicinity of South Leeds SILC, Middleton. Details are shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc.
 - iii) Request the City Solicitor to:
 - a) Advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order to introduce 'No Waiting At Any Time', 'No Waiting' (Mon-Fri 8am-4pm), 'No Stopping' (Mon-Fri 8.30am-4pm) and 'Time Limited Waiting' (2hrs No return within 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri) restrictions on Broom Place, as shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc; and
 - b) Advertise a notice under Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980 to provide traffic calming measures as shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc and if no valid objections are received to make seal and implement the Order and traffic calming measures as advertised..

1 Purpose of this report

- 1.1 To seek approval to advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order to introduce 'No Waiting At Any Time', 'No Waiting' (Mon-Fri 8am-4pm), 'No Stopping' (8.30am-4pm) and 'Time Limited Waiting' (2hrs No return within 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri) restrictions and a Notice under Section 90C of the Highways Act 1980 all outside Leeds South SILC on Broom Place, as shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc and if no valid objections are received, to request that the City Solicitor make, seal and implement the Order and traffic calming measures as advertised.

2 Background information

- 2.1 The proposals are to extend Broomfield South Leeds SILC to accommodate an additional 50 pupils. The SILC is served by bus services on Broom Place and has adequate access on foot and by car. Due to the specialist nature of the centre, most pupils arrive on site by minibus or taxi, with a very low proportion of pupils that travel to the SILC on foot.
- 2.2 It is proposed that there will be pedestrian access to the new SILC site from the public footway so that pupils, staff and visitors can travel between the two parts of the South SILC sites on foot.
- 2.3 All minibus, taxi and parent/ guardian vehicles pick up and drop offs will take place within the SILC site. As the proposed extension to the South SILC is designed as a standalone site in terms of parking and access the on-site drop offs and pickups will take place within the separate entrance and exit points of the existing and new sites.
- 2.4 The South Leeds SILC is already in a 20mph zone and there are no recorded injury accidents within the vicinity of the centre. It is forecast that there will be no worsening of the overspill parking by staff. However, in recognition that overspill currently occurs, as well as the requirement for additional visitors parking, parking improvements are proposed involving school keep clear markings and a limited waiting parking (2hrs No return 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri) area.

3 Main issues

- 3.1 In order to improve the road safety on Broom Place, in the vicinity of the SILC it is proposed to introduce 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction, 'No Stopping' restriction and 'Time Limited Waiting' restrictions all within the vicinity of the SILC. There is also a 90c notice for the vertical traffic calming features to be introduced in the form of a pedestrian hump plateau to assist at grade crossing.
- 3.2 The full design proposals are shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc which shows the lengths where traffic calming features are proposed and the extents of the proposed waiting restrictions.
- 3.3 **Design Proposals and Full Scheme Description.**
- 3.4.1 The proposed pedestrian hump plateau which requires the advertising of a Section 90C notice, is replacing an existing set of speed cushions that are currently situated on Broom Place. There will be waiting restrictions in the form of 'No Waiting At Any Time', 'No Waiting' (Mon-Fri 8am-4pm), and 'No Stopping on the proposed school keep clear' markings. There is area of 'Time Limited Waiting which will have restricted hours of 2hrs No return 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri.
- 3.4.2 The full design proposals are shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc, which show the extents of the 'Time Limited Waiting', 'No stopping' on the School Keep Clear markings and 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions.

3.5 Programme

3.5.1 It is anticipated that the proposal will be implemented within the 2015/ 2016 financial year.

4.0 Corporate Considerations

4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 Ward Members: Ward Members were consulted as part of the initial planning application. We received support from one Ward Member following post election reconsultation on 26th May 2015.

4.1.2 Emergency Services and WYCA were consulted on 14th May 2015; WY Police, WY Fire & Rescue Service and WYCA have expressed support for the scheme.

4.1.3 South Leeds, SILC was contacted on 14th May and 9th June with regards to the proposals asking for comment and to specify what times they would prefer to see applied to the School Keep Clear markings. The School have agreed for the restrictions to cover Mon–Fri 8.30am–4pm inclusive.

4.1.4 The general public will be consulted via notices on street lighting columns during the public advertisement phase, along with an advert in the Yorkshire Evening Post newspaper.

4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 A full Equality, Diversity / Cohesion and Integration Screening (Appendix 1) has been carried out on the proposals and has determined that an impact assessment is not required for the approvals requested. The screening process identified the following impacts:

Positive Impact:

- Provide safer passage whilst crossing the road to all pedestrians, especially those with mobility issues, disabled people, parents supporting pushchairs and young and old people
- Improved sightlines on the approach to the speed table for pedestrians and motorists.
- Improved awareness of existing crossing points as the removal of footpath parking will no longer mask their location for pedestrians.

4.2.2 Negative Impact:

- The removal of the unrestricted carriageway section will move the indiscriminate parking to other locations where there are no restriction applied.

4.3 Council Policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 The Best City ambition is to improve life for the people of Leeds and make our city a better place. Measures to improve road safety make a specific contribution

to the Best City for Communities and Child Friendly Leeds ambitions. Reducing the number of casualties involving loss of life or severe injury (Killed or Seriously Injured – KSIs) is one of the measures for the delivery of the objectives for the Best Council Plan.

4.4 Resources and Value for Money

4.4.1 The estimated total cost to implement this scheme is £18,000, which comprises of £13,000 works costs, £4,000 staff fees, & legal fees £1,000, all to be funded from the Children’s Services Capital Programme.

4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 The scheme is in the Annual Programme and subject to resolving any objections received it is anticipated to be completed within the 2015/2016 financial year.

4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 Objections to the Permanent Traffic Regulation Order may be raised during the formal consultation and could result in a delay in implementing the orders.

5 Conclusions

5.1 The proposed ‘No Waiting At Any Time’, ‘No Waiting’, ‘No Stopping’ and ‘Time Limited Waiting’ restrictions will improve overall road safety on Broom Place. The addition of a hump plateau to replace the existing speed cushions will increase the conspicuity of the hump plateau and slow traffic down as they approach it.

6 Recommendations

6.1 The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation) is requested to:

- i) Authorise the design and implementation of a scheme to introduce ‘No waiting At Any Time’ restriction, ‘No Waiting’ (Mon-Fri 8am-4pm), ‘No Stopping’ (Mon-Fri 8.30am-4pm) restriction, on the School Keep Clear markings, and proposed ‘Time Limited Waiting’ (2hrs No return 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri) on Broom Place, Middleton, at a cost of £18,000. Details are shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc; and
 - ii) Authorised the design and implementation of a pedestrian hump plateau on Broom Place in the vicinity of South Leeds SILC Middleton. Details are shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc.
- iii) Request the City Solicitor to:
- a) Advertise a draft Traffic Regulation Order to introduce ‘No Waiting At Any Time’, ‘No Waiting’ (Mon-Fri 8am-4pm), ‘No Stopping’ (Mon-Fri 8.30am-4pm) and ‘time Limited Waiting (2hrs No return 2hrs, 8am-6pm Mon-Fri) restrictions on Broom Place, Middleton as shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc; and

- b) Advertise a notice under Section 90c of the Highways Act 1980 to provide traffic calming measures as shown on drawing TMW-23-997020-CONc and if no valid objections are received to make seal and implement the Order and traffic calming measures as advertised.

7 Background Papers¹

7.1 None

¹ The background documents listed in this section are available to download from the Council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.

Appendix 1

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Highway services	Service area: Traffic Management
Lead person: Peter Walwyn	Contact number: 0113 2475620

1. Title: South SILC Leeds – Broom Place, Belle Isle

Is this a:

Strategy / Policy

Service / Function

Other

If other, please specify

2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

The screening focuses on a report to implement 'Limited waiting', 'No waiting at any time and 'No Stopping restrictions, along with vertical traffic calming measures as part of a planning application to extend the premises of South Leeds SILCS on Broom Place, Middleton.

To remove the parking around the entrance to the SILC there are a number of restriction proposed to help formalised the on-street parking in the area.

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or

the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?	x	
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	x	
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		x
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		x
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment • Advancing equality of opportunity • Fostering good relations 		x

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4**.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

- **How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration?** (**think about** the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

Consultation has taken place with Ward Members, the emergency services and metro and no objections have been received from them. Consultation has also been carried out to all of the businesses affected.

- **Key findings**

(**think about** any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

It may be perceived that the scheme has a more positive impact on pedestrians and cyclists over motorists. However, the reduction in road casualties has a beneficial effect on all three groups.

Positive Impacts of the scheme features

- Improve sightlines at the junction where restrictions are being introduced
- Improved awareness of existing crossing points for motorist, where parked cars previously masked these crossing points and potentially any pedestrians.

Negative impacts;

- The removal of the unrestricted carriageway sections will move commuter parking to other areas.

- **Actions**

(**think about** how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

Where possible the Road Safety's School Travel Team go into schools prior to scheme implementation to give a presentation to the children about the 20mph and raise awareness and promote the schemes.

5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.

Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	n/a
Date to complete your impact assessment	n/a
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	n/a

6. Governance, ownership and approval

Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening

Name	Job title	Date
Nick Borrás	Senior Engineer	31/03/2015

7. Publishing

This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published.

If this screening relates to a **Key Delegated Decision, Executive Board, full Council** or a **Significant Operational Decision** a copy should be emailed to Corporate Governance and will be published along with the relevant report.

A copy of **all other** screening's should be sent to equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk. For record keeping purposes it will be kept on file (but not published).

Date screening completed	31/03/2015
If relates to a Key Decision - date sent to Corporate Governance	
Any other decision – date sent to Equality Team (equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk)	